

1 BENJAMIN P. CLOWARD, ESQ.

2 Nevada Bar No. 11087

3 RILEY A. CLAYTON, ESQ.

4 Nevada Bar No. 5260

CLOWARD TRIAL LAWYERS

5 9950 W. Cheyenne Ave.

6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129

7 Phone: (702) 605-5000

8 Fax: (702) 997-5000

E-mail: ben@thefiercefirm.com

E-mail: riley@thefiercefirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

9 *In association with:*

10 CRAIG M. MURPHY, ESQ.

11 Nevada Bar No. 4014

MURPHY & MURPHY LAW OFFICES

12 3900 S. Hualapai Way, Ste. 134

13 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147

14 Phone: (702) 369-9696

15 Fax: (702) 369-9630

16 E-mail: craig@nvpilaw.com

17 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

18 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

19 WENDY DAYLEY,

20 Plaintiff

21 v.

22 LVGV, LLC, a Nevada Limited-Liability
23 Company d/b/a M Resort Spa and Casino; Does
24 1-20, inclusive; and Roe Business Entities 1-10,
25 inclusive,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:23-cv-00456-CDS-EJY

**Order Approving STIPULATION TO
EXTEND BRIEFING SCHEDULE
WITH RESPECT TO PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY
JUDGMENT REGARDING THE
DOCTRINE OF RES IPSA
LOQUITUR [ECF No. 70] and
DEFENDANT LVGV'S MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT [ECF No. 71]**

[ECF No. 73]

26 Pursuant to Rule IA 6-1 of the Local Rules of Practice for the United States District
27 Court, District of Nevada, Plaintiff, WENDY DAYLEY, and Defendant, LVGV, LLC, a
28 Nevada Limited-Liability Company d/b/a M Resort Spa and Casino (hereinafter "LVGV"), by



1 and through their attorneys of record, stipulate and agree to extend the briefing schedule with
2 respect to Defendant LVGV's Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. #71], which was filed
3 on May 19, 2025, and Plaintiff's Motion For Partial Summary Judgment Regarding the
4 Application of the Doctrine of Res Ipsa Loquitur [ECF No. #70], which was filed on May 16,
5 2025, as follows:

6 1. Plaintiff filed her Motion For Partial Summary Judgment Regarding the
7 Application of the Doctrine of Res Ipsa Loquitur [ECF No. 70] (hereinafter "MPSJ") on Friday,
8 May 16, 2025.

9 2. Defendant timely filed its Response to Plaintiff's MPSJ [ECF No. 72] on
10 Monday, May 19, 2025.

11 3. The current deadline for Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Plaintiff's MPSJ is
12 Monday, June 2, 2025 (14 days after service of Defendant's Response).

13 4. Defendant has agreed to provide Plaintiff with an extension until June 23, 2025,
14 to file her Reply in Support of Plaintiff's MPSJ.

15 5. Defendant filed its Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 71] (hereinafter
16 "Defendant's MSJ") on Monday, May 19, 2025.

17 6. The current deadline for Plaintiff's Response is Monday, June 9, 2025 (21 days
18 after service of Defendant's MSJ).

19 7. Defendant has agreed to provide Plaintiff with an extension until June 23, 2025,
20 to file her Response to Defendant's MSJ.

21 8. Defendant's Reply in Support of Defendant's MSJ shall be due in the normal
22 course, i.e. 14 days after service of Plaintiff's Response per LR 7-2(b).

23 9. The reason for this extension is due in part to Plaintiff's counsel attending a
24 weeklong legal conference in Southern California, entitled "Trial Lawyer University," which
25 occurs from Tuesday, June 3, 2025 to Sunday, June 8, 2025. Additionally, Plaintiff's counsel is
26 currently working on various other dispositive motions and oppositions to dispositive motions,
27 as well has numerous depositions in their other matters, which has further exacerbated the need
28 for this extension. This extension will allow Plaintiff to more fully and properly prepare the



Opposition and Reply in Support so that this matter may be considered on the merits, which is particularly important with regard to Motions for Summary Judgment.

10. The parties respectfully request that the hearings on both motions be scheduled for the same day. These Motions touch upon the same subject matter and are both dispositive motions, especially with regard to the doctrine of Res Ipsa Loquitur. As such, hearing these motions together will promote judicial economy.

11. This is the parties' first request for an extension of the briefing with respect to either Motion. The parties also affirm that this extension is not made with an improper purpose but is made in good faith.

DATED THIS 29th of May, 2025.

Cloward Trial Lawyers

/s/ Angela M. Lee

RILEY A. CLAYTON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 5260

ANGELA M. LEE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 14905

9950 W. Cheyenne Ave.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89129

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DATED THIS 29th of May, 2025

Wilson Elser Moskowitz Edelman & Dicker LLP

/s/ Chris Richardson

MICHAEL P. LOWRY, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 10666

CHRIS J. RICHARDSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 9166

6689 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 200

Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Attorneys for Defendant, LVGV, LLC

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: May 30, 2025

